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ABSTRACT
In this paper, we present a double Fourier Cosine-Jacobi series for the generalized multivariable Gimel-function.
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1. Introduction and preliminaries.

The object of this paper is to introduce a new class of double Fourier Cosine-Jacobi series for generalized multivariable Gimel-function and present one double Fourier series of this class.

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ be set of complex numbers, real numbers and positive integers respectively. Also $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

The subject of expansion formulae and Fourier series of special functions occupies a large place in the literature of special functions. Certain double expansion formulae and double Fourier series of generalized hypergeometric functions play an important role in the development of the theories of special functions and two-dimensional boundary value problems. In this paper, we establish a double expansion formula for generalized multivariable Gimel-function.

We define a generalized transcendental function of several complex variables.

$$\mathcal{J}(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = \sum_{m_2,n_2;m_3,n_3;\ldots;m_r,n_r;m^{(1)}_2,n^{(1)}_2;m^{(2)}_2,n^{(2)}_2;\ldots;m^{(r)}_2,n^{(r)}_2} \psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \prod_{k=1}^{r} \theta_k(s_k) s_k^{2 \rho_k} \, ds_1 \cdots ds_r$$

with $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$
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\[
\psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_2} \Gamma^{B_{2j}}(b_{2j} - \sum_{k=1}^{s} \beta_{2j, 2k}) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \Gamma^{A_{2j}}(1 - a_{2j} + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{2j, 2k})}{\sum_{i=1}^{R_2} \prod_{j=m_2+1}^{n_3} \Gamma^{A_{2j}}(1 - a_{2j} + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{2j, 2k})} \]
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{m_2} \Gamma^{B_{2j}}(b_{2j} - \sum_{k=1}^{s} \beta_{2j, 2k}) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \Gamma^{A_{2j}}(1 - a_{2j} + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{2j, 2k})
\sum_{i=1}^{R_2} \prod_{j=m_2+1}^{n_3} \Gamma^{A_{2j}}(1 - a_{2j} + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{2j, 2k})
\]

\[
\theta_k(s_k) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_k} \Gamma^{D_{j,k}}(d_{j,k} - \delta_{j,k}) \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} \Gamma^{C_{j,k}}(1 - c_{j,k} + \gamma_{j,k})}{\sum_{i=1}^{R_k} \prod_{j=m_k+1}^{n_i} \Gamma^{D_{j,i}}(1 - d_{j,i} + \delta_{j,i}) \prod_{j=m_k+1}^{n_i} \Gamma^{C_{j,i}}(c_{j,i} - \gamma_{j,i})}
\]

1) \((\epsilon^{(1)}_j, \gamma^{(1)}_j))_{1 \leq i} \text{ stands for } (\epsilon^{(1)}_1, \gamma^{(1)}_1), \ldots, (\epsilon^{(1)}_{n_1}, \gamma^{(1)}_{n_1}).

2) m_2, n_2, \ldots, m_r, n_r, m^{(1)}, n^{(1)}, \ldots, m^{(r)}, n^{(r)}, p_{i,k}, q_{i,k}, R_2, \tau_{i,k}, \ldots, p_i, q_i, R, r_i, p_i(r), q_i(r), \tau_i(r), R^{(r)} \in \mathbb{N}

and verify:

\[
0 \leq m_2 \leq q_{i,k}, 0 \leq n_2 \leq p_{i,k}, \ldots, 0 \leq m_r \leq q_{i,r}, 0 \leq n_r \leq p_{i,r}, 0 \leq m^{(1)} \leq q_{i,1}, \ldots, 0 \leq m^{(r)} \leq q_{i,r}
\]

\[
0 \leq n^{(1)} \leq p_{i,1}, \ldots, 0 \leq n^{(r)} \leq p_{i,r}.
\]

3) \(\tau_{i,k}(i_2 = 1, \ldots, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}^+; \tau_i(r_i = 1, \ldots, R); \tau_i (i = 1, \ldots, R^{(k)}), (k = 1, \ldots, r).

4) \(\gamma^{(k)}_j, \epsilon^{(k)}_j \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = 1, \ldots, n^{(k)}); (k = 1, \ldots, r); \delta^{(k)}_j, D^{(k)}_j \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = 1, \ldots, m^{(k)}); (k = 1, \ldots, r).

\(C^{(k)}_{j,i} \in \mathbb{R}^+, (j = m^{(k)} + 1, \ldots, p^{(k)}); (k = 1, \ldots, r);

\(D^{(k)}_{j,i} \in \mathbb{R}^+, (j = n^{(k)} + 1, \ldots, q^{(k)}); (k = 1, \ldots, r).

\alpha^{(i)}_{k,j} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = 1, \ldots, n_k); (k = 2, \ldots, r); (l = 1, \ldots, k).

\beta^{(i)}_{k,j} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = 1, \ldots, m_k); (k = 2, \ldots, r); (l = 1, \ldots, k).

\alpha^{(i)}_{k,j,k} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = n_k + 1, \ldots, p_{i,k}); (k = 2, \ldots, r); (l = 1, \ldots, k).

\beta^{(i)}_{k,j,k} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (j = m_k + 1, \ldots, q_{i,k}); (k = 2, \ldots, r); (l = 1, \ldots, k).

\delta^{(k)}_{j,i} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (i = 1, \ldots, R^{(k)}); (j = m^{(k)} + 1, \ldots, q_{i,k}); (k = 1, \ldots, r).
\( \gamma_{j(k)}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^+; (i = 1, \ldots, R(k)); (j = n^{(k)} + 1, \ldots, p_{j(k)}); (k = 1, \ldots, r). \)

5) \( c_j^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}; (j = 1, \ldots, n_k); (k = 1, \ldots, r); d_j^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}; (j = 1, \ldots, m_k); (k = 1, \ldots, r). \)

\( a_{k_{j}i_{k}} \in \mathbb{C}; (j = n_k + 1, \ldots, p_{i_{k}}); (k = 2, \ldots, r). \)

\( b_{k_{j}i_{k}} \in \mathbb{C}; (j = m_k + 1, \ldots, q_{i_{k}}); (k = 2, \ldots, r). \)

\( d_j^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}; (j = 1, \ldots, R(k)); (j = m^{(k)} + 1, \ldots, q_{j(k)}); (k = 1, \ldots, r). \)

\( \gamma_{j(k)}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}; (i = 1, \ldots, R(k)); (j = n^{(k)} + 1, \ldots, p_{j(k)}); (k = 1, \ldots, r). \)

The contour \( L_k \) is in the \( s_k(k = 1, \ldots, r) \)-plane and run from \( \sigma - i\infty \) to \( \sigma + i\infty \) where \( \sigma \) is a real number with loop, if necessary to ensure that the poles of \( \Gamma_{j}^{(k)} \left( 1 - a_{j(k)} + \sum_{k=1}^{2} a_{2j(k)} s_k \right) \) \( (j = 1, \ldots, n_2), \Gamma_{j}^{(k)} \left( 1 - a_{j(k)} + \sum_{k=1}^{3} a_{3j(k)} s_k \right) \) lie to the left of the contour \( L_k \) and the poles of \( \Gamma_{j}^{(k)} \left( b_{j(k)} - \sum_{k=1}^{2} b_{2j(k)} s_k \right) \) \( (j = 1, \ldots, m_2), \Gamma_{j}^{(k)} \left( b_{j(k)} - \sum_{k=1}^{3} b_{3j(k)} s_k \right) \) \( (j = 1, \ldots, m_3) \) lie to the left of the contour \( L_k \). The condition for absolute convergence of multiple Mellin-Barnes type contour (1.1) can be obtained of the corresponding conditions for multivariable H-function given by as:

\[ \arg(z_k) < \frac{1}{2} A_{k(k)} \pi \]

\[ A_{k(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m^{(k)}} D_j^{(k)} \delta_j^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n^{(k)}} C_j^{(k)} \gamma_j^{(k)} - \tau_{i(k)} \left( \sum_{j=m^{(k)}+1}^{q_{j(k)}} D_j^{(k)} \delta_j^{(k)} + \sum_{j=n^{(k)}+1}^{p_{j(k)}} C_j^{(k)} \gamma_j^{(k)} \right) + \]

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} A_{2j} \alpha_{2j}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} B_{2j} \beta_{2j}^{(k)} - \tau_{i_2} \left( \sum_{j=n_2+1}^{p_{i_2}} A_{2j_{i_2}} \alpha_{2j_{i_2}}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=m_2+1}^{q_{i_2}} B_{2j_{i_2}} \beta_{2j_{i_2}}^{(k)} \right) + \ldots + \]

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_r} A_{rj} \alpha_{rj}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_r} B_{rj} \beta_{rj}^{(k)} - \tau_{i_r} \left( \sum_{j=n_r+1}^{p_{i_r}} A_{rj_{i_r}} \alpha_{rj_{i_r}}^{(k)} + \sum_{j=m_r+1}^{q_{i_r}} B_{rj_{i_r}} \beta_{rj_{i_r}}^{(k)} \right) \]

\[ \text{(1.4)} \]

Following the lines of Braaksma ([2] p. 278), we may establish the asymptotic expansion in the following convenient form:

\[ \mathcal{N}(z_1, \ldots, z_r) = 0( |z_1|^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, |z_r|^{\alpha_r}), \max( |z_1|, \ldots, |z_r| ) \to 0 \]

\[ \mathcal{N}(z_1, \ldots, z_r) = 0( |z_1|^{\beta_1}, \ldots, |z_r|^{\beta_r}), \min( |z_1|, \ldots, |z_r| ) \to \infty \text{ where } i = 1, \ldots, r : \]

\[ \alpha_i = \min_{1 < j < m^{(i)}} \text{Re} \left( \sum_{h=2}^{r} A_{hj} \beta_{hj}^{(i)} + D_j^{(i)} \delta_j^{(i)} \right) \text{ and } \beta_i = \max_{1 < j < m^{(i)}} \text{Re} \left( \sum_{h=2}^{r} A_{hj} \alpha_{hj}^{(i)} + C_j^{(i)} \gamma_j^{(i)} \right) \]

Remark 1.

If \( n_2 = n_3 = \cdots = n_{r-1} = n_r = 0 \) and \( A_{2j} = B_{2j} = A_{2j_{i_r}} = B_{2j_{i_r}} = \cdots = A_{rj} = B_{rj} = A_{rj_{i_r}} = B_{rj_{i_r}} = 1 \), then the multivariable Gimel-function reduces in the generalized multivariable Aleph-function (extension of multivariable Aleph-function defined by Ayant [1]).
Remark 2.
If $m_2 = n_2 = \cdots = m_r = n_r = p_{2i} = q_{2i} = \cdots = p_{ri} = q_{ri} = 0$ and $\tau_{2i} = \cdots = \tau_{ri} = \tau_{i(1)} = \cdots = \tau_{i(r)} = R_2 = \cdots = R_r = R^{(1)} = \cdots = R^{(r)} = 1$, then the generalized multivariable Gimel-function reduces in a generalized multivariable I-function (extension of multivariable I-function defined by Prathima et al. [7]).

Remark 3.
If $A_{2j} = B_{2j} = A_{3j} = B_{3j} = \cdots = A_{rj} = B_{rj} = A_{rj+1} = B_{rj+1} = 1$ and $\tau_{2j} = \cdots = \tau_{rj} = \tau_{j(1)} = \cdots = \tau_{j(r)} = R_j = \cdots = R_r = R^{(1)} = \cdots = R^{(r)} = 1$, then the generalized multivariable Gimel-function reduces in generalized multivariable I-function (extension of multivariable I-function defined by Prasad [6]).

Remark 4.
If the three above conditions are satisfied at the same time, then the generalized multivariable Gimel-function reduces in the generalized multivariable H-function (extension of multivariable H-function defined by Srivastava and Panda [8,9]).

In your investigation, we shall use the following notations.

$A = \left(\begin{array}{c}
(a_{2j}^{(1)}, \alpha_{2j}^{(2)}; A_{2j})_{1,n_2}, (a_{3j}^{(1)}, \alpha_{3j}^{(2)}; A_{3j})_{1,n_3}, \cdots, (a_{rj}^{(1)}, \alpha_{rj}^{(2)}; A_{rj})_{1,n_r}\end{array}\right)$

$B = \left(\begin{array}{c}
(b_{2j}^{(1)}, \beta_{2j}^{(2)}; B_{2j})_{1,m_2}, (b_{3j}^{(1)}, \beta_{3j}^{(2)}; B_{3j})_{1,m_3}, \cdots, (b_{rj}^{(1)}, \beta_{rj}^{(2)}; B_{rj})_{1,m_r}\end{array}\right)$

$\mathcal{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c}
(a_{2j}^{r(1)}, \alpha_{2j}^{r(2)}; A_{2j})_{1,n_2}, (a_{3j}^{r(1)}, \alpha_{3j}^{r(2)}; A_{3j})_{1,n_3}, \cdots, (a_{rj}^{r(1)}, \alpha_{rj}^{r(2)}; A_{rj})_{1,n_r}\end{array}\right)$

$\mathcal{B} = \left(\begin{array}{c}
(b_{2j}^{r(1)}, \beta_{2j}^{r(2)}; B_{2j})_{1,m_2}, (b_{3j}^{r(1)}, \beta_{3j}^{r(2)}; B_{3j})_{1,m_3}, \cdots, (b_{rj}^{r(1)}, \beta_{rj}^{r(2)}; B_{rj})_{1,m_r}\end{array}\right)$

$\mathcal{U} = \left(\begin{array}{c}
(m_2, n_2, m_3, n_3, \cdots, m_{r-1}, n_{r-1}, V = m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2, \cdots, m_r, n_r, V_1 = p_{2i}, q_{2i}, \cdots, p_{ri}, q_{ri}, R_2, \cdots, R_r, R^{(1)}, \cdots, R^{(r)}; \end{array}\right)$

$X(p_{2i}, q_{2i}, \tau_{2i}, R_2, \cdots, p_{ri}, q_{ri}, \tau_{ri}, R_r, R^{(1)}, \cdots, R^{(r)}; R^{(r)}).$

2. Required results.
In this section, we three formulae. These results will be used in the following sections.

**Lemma 1.** ([5], p. 143).
\[
\int_0^\pi \cos n\theta \left( \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{-2\zeta} d\theta = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\zeta + n) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - \zeta \right)}{\Gamma(\zeta) \Gamma(1 - \zeta + n)} \tag{2.1}
\]
provided \(\text{Re}(1 - 2\zeta) > 0\)

**Lemma 2.** ([4], p. 1240, Eq. 4)
\[
\int_{-1}^1 (1 - x)^{\rho} (1 + x)^{\beta} P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx = \frac{2^{\beta + \rho + 1} \Gamma(\rho + 1) \Gamma(\beta + n + 1) \Gamma(\alpha - \rho + n)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha - \rho) \Gamma(\beta + \rho + n + 2)} \tag{2.2}
\]
provided \(\text{Re}(\rho) > -1, \text{Re}(\beta) > -1\).

The orthogonality property of the Jacobi polynomials [3].

**Lemma 3.**
\[
\int_{-1}^1 (1 - x)^{\alpha} (1 + x)^{\beta} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \\ \frac{2^{\alpha + \beta + 1} \Gamma(\alpha + n + 1) \Gamma(\beta + n + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)} & \text{if } m = n \end{cases} \tag{2.3}
\]
provided \(\text{Re}(\alpha) > -1, \text{Re}(\beta) > -1\).

3. Main integrals.

In this section, we give two general integrals about generalized multivariable Gimel-function.

**Theorem 1.**
\[
\int_0^\pi \cos(ux) \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2w_1} \prod_{i=1}^r \left( z_i \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2h_i}, \cdots, z_r \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2h_r} \right) dx = \frac{\pi}{\nu}
\]
provided \(h_i > 0 (i = 1, \cdots, r), \text{Re}(1 - 2w_1) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_i} \text{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{h=1}^h A_{hj} \frac{a_{hj} - 1}{\alpha_{hj}^{(i)}} + C_{ij}^{(i)} \frac{C_{ij}^{(i)} - 1}{\gamma_{ij}^{(i)}} \right) > 0. \)

\[
|\arg \left( z_i \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-h_i} \right) | < \frac{1}{2} A_i^{(k)} \pi \text{ where } A_i^{(k)} \text{ is defined by (1.4).}
\]

**Proof**

To prove the theorem 1, we replace the generalized multivariable Gimel-function by this multiple integrals contour with the help of (1.1), change the order of integrations which is justified under the conditions mentioned above. We get
\[
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{r}} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \cdots, s_r) \prod_{k=1}^r \theta_k(s_k) s_k^{x} \int_0^\pi \cos(ux) \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2w_1 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r h_i s_i} dx ds_1 \cdots ds_r \tag{3.2}
\]
Evaluate the inner integral with the help of lemma 1 and interpreting the Mellin-Barnes multiple integrals contour in terms of the generalized multivariable Gimel-function, we get the desired result (3.1).

Theorem 2.

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x)^{w_2}(1 + x)^b P_v^{(a,b)}(x) \mathcal{J} \left( z_1(1 - x)^{k_1}, \ldots, z_r(1 - x)^{k_r} \right) \, dx = \frac{2^{b+w_2+1} \Gamma(1 + b + b)}{v!} \]

\[ \left. \left( \begin{array}{c} 2^{k_1} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ 2^{k_r} z_r \\ \end{array} \right) \mathcal{A}; \left( \begin{array}{c} -w_2; k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1, \end{array} \right) \mathcal{A}, \left( \begin{array}{c} a - w_2; k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1; \end{array} \right) : A \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{B}; \left( \begin{array}{c} -1 + b - w_2; v, k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1; \end{array} \right) \mathcal{B}, \left( \begin{array}{c} -1 - b - w_2 - v, k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1; \end{array} \right) : B \right) \]  

(3.3)

provided

\[ Re(a) > -1, Re(b) > -1, k_i > 0(i = 1, \ldots, r), Re(w_2) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} Re \left( \sum_{h=2}^{r} \sum_{h'=1}^{b} B_{hj} \delta_{hj}^{(i)} + D_{j}^{(i)} \delta_{j}^{(i)} \right) > -1. \]

|arg(z_i(1 - y)^{k_i})| < \frac{1}{2} A_i^{(k)} \pi where A_i^{(k)} is defined by (1.4).

Proof

To prove the theorem 1, we replace the generalized multivariable Gimel-function by this multiple integrals contour with the help of (1.1), change the order of integrations which is justified under the conditions mentioned above. We get

\[ \frac{1}{(2\pi \omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \prod_{k=1}^{r} \theta_k(s_k) z_k^{s_k} \left[ \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x)^{w_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i} (1 + x)^b P_v^{(a,b)}(x) \, dx \right] ds_1 \cdots ds_r \]

(3.4)

Evaluate the inner integral with the help of lemma 2 and interpreting the Mellin-Barnes multiple integrals contour in terms of the multivariable Gimel-function, we get the desired result (3.3).

4. Double Fourier cosine-Jacobi series.

The double Fourier Cosine-Jacobi series to be established is

Theorem 3.

\[ \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2w_1} (1 - y)^{w_2} \mathcal{J} \left( z_1 \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2h_1}, \ldots, z_r \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2h_r} (1 - y)^{k_r} \right) = \]

\[ \frac{2^{w_2+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{s,t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a + b + 2t + 1) \Gamma(a + b + t + 1)}{\Gamma(a + t + 1)} \cos(sx) P_v^{(a,b)}(y) \mathcal{J}_{x+y}^{m+n+1, n+r+2, V} \]

\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} 2^{k_1} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ 2^{k_r} z_r \\ \end{array} \right) \mathcal{A}; \left( \begin{array}{c} -w_1 - s; h_1, \ldots, h_r; 1; \end{array} \right) \mathcal{A}, \left( \begin{array}{c} a - w_1 - s; h_1, \ldots, h_r; 1; \end{array} \right) : A \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{B}; \left( \begin{array}{c} -1 - a - b - w_2 - t; k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1; \end{array} \right) \mathcal{B}, \left( \begin{array}{c} -1 - a - b - w_2 - t; k_1, \ldots, k_r; 1; \end{array} \right) : B \right) \]

(4.1)

under the same existence condition that (3.1) and (3.3).

Proof

\[ f(x, y) = \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2w_1} (1 - y)^{w_2} \mathcal{J} \left( z_1 \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2h_1}, \ldots, z_r \left( \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2h_r} (1 - y)^{k_r} \right) = \]
\[ \sum_{s,t=0}^{\infty} A_{s,t} \cos(sx) P_{t}^{(\alpha,b)}(y) \quad (4.2) \]

The above equation is valid since \( f(x, y) \) is continuous and bounded variation in the region \( (0, \pi) \times (-1, 1) \). The problem concerning the possibility of expressing a function \( f(x, y) \) as double Fourier series expansion are many and cumbersome. However, convergence of almost all double Fourier series expansions is covered by two-variables analogues of Dirichlet’s conditions and Jordan’s theorem.

Multiplying both sides of (4.1) by \((1-y)^{a} (1+y)^{b} P_{t}^{(\alpha,b)}(y)\), integrating with respect to \(y\) from -1 to 1, and using (3.3) and (2.3), we obtain

\[ 2 \omega_{y} \left(\sin \frac{x}{2}\right)^{2-2w_{y}} \int_{-1}^{1} P_{t}^{(\alpha,b)}(y) \cos(sx) \, dy = \]

\[ \left( \begin{array}{c}
2^{k}z_{1} (\sin \frac{x}{2})^{-2k_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
2^{k_{r}}z_{r} (\sin \frac{x}{2})^{-2k_{r}}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
A_{1} (-w_{2} - a; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}; 1), A_{1} (-w_{2}; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}; 1) : A \\
\vdots \\
B_{1} (-w_{2} + v; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}; 1), B_{1} (-1 - a - b - w_{2} - v; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}; 1) : B
\end{array} \right) = \]

\[ \sum_{s,v=0}^{\infty} A_{s,v} \frac{\Gamma(a + v + 1)}{(a + b + 2v + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(a + b + v + 1)} \cos(sx) \quad (4.3) \]

Multiplying both sides of (4.2) by \( \cos(sx) \), integrating with respect to \(x\) from 0 to \(\pi\), and using (3.1) and the orthogonality property of cosine functions, we have

\[ A_{u,v} = 2^{\omega_{x} + 1}(a + b + 2v + 1) \frac{\Gamma(a + b + v + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(a + v + 1)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \]

\[ \left( \begin{array}{c}
2^{k_{1}}z_{1} \\
\vdots \\
2^{k_{r}}z_{r}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
A_{1} (1-w_{1} - u; h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}; 1), (-w_{2} - a; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}; 1), A_{1} (1 - w_{1} + u; h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}; 1), (-w_{2}; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}; 1) : A \\
\vdots \\
B_{1} (1 - w_{1} - u; h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}; 1), (-w_{2} + v; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}; 1), B_{1} (1 - w_{1} + u; h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}; 1), (-1 - a - b - w_{2} - v; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}; 1) : B
\end{array} \right) = \]

(4.4)

Finally substituting the value of \( A_{u,v} \) in (4.2), we obtain the desired result (4.1).

5. Conclusion.

Since on specializing the parameters of generalized Gimel-function of several variables yield almost all special functions appearing in Applied Mathematics and Physical Sciences. Therefore the result presented in this study is of a general character and hence may encompass several cases of interest.
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